

From: [McKay, Kerry A. \(Fed\)](#)
To: [Moody, Dustin \(Fed\)](#); [Regenscheid, Andrew R. \(Fed\)](#); [Chen, Lily \(Fed\)](#)
Subject: Re: TCG meeting next Wednesday
Date: Wednesday, April 17, 2019 2:12:18 PM

I will be there as well.

-Kerry

From: "Moody, Dustin (Fed)" <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Date: Wednesday, April 17, 2019 at 2:02 PM
To: "Regenscheid, Andrew (Fed)" <andrew.regenscheid@nist.gov>, "McKay, Kerry A. (Fed)" <kerry.mckay@nist.gov>, "Chen, Lily (Fed)" <lily.chen@nist.gov>
Subject: RE: TCG meeting next Wednesday

Yes, I can be there.

From: Regenscheid, Andrew (Fed)
Sent: Wednesday, April 17, 2019 2:00 PM
To: McKay, Kerry A. (Fed) <kerry.mckay@nist.gov>; Chen, Lily (Fed) <lily.chen@nist.gov>; Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Subject: TCG meeting next Wednesday

Lily, Kerry, Dustin,

I just wanted to touch base on the TCG meeting next Wednesday morning. (24th)

As a reminder, it's starting at 9AM down at the NCCoE. In terms of the agenda, the crypto session will end up roughly in the middle, after some general opening remarks and a discussion of some NCCoE-related topics.

These end up being pretty informal meetings. I would suggest no slides. They've been briefed on PQC/LWC before, so view this as more of an update for them. Lily, I was hoping you could kick it off with some high-level things about priorities for the group, and then kick it over to Dustin and Kerry (assuming they can both make it) for updates on PQC and LWC. Try to keep your general remarks to <5 minutes, and let them ask questions to go into more details. They'll have questions- I just don't know what they'll be.

-Andy